**UCLA | EIP**

H.Y. LIU
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
24F: MATH 132 DIS 2A: CMPLX ANLY-APLICTNS
No. of responses = 33
Enrollment = 42
Response Rate = 78.57%

---

1. UCLA Department of Mathematics:

1.1) **How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?**

Failing | 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 7 23 | Excellent
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)

n=33
av.=8.58
md=9
dev.=0.75

1.2) **How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?**

Failing | 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 6 25 | Excellent
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)

n=33
av.=8.7
md=9
dev.=0.59

1.3) **What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?**

Failing | 0 0 0 0 0 2 8 7 16 | Excellent
(1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)

n=33
av.=8.12
md=8
dev.=0.99

---

2. Comments:

2.1) Please use the space provided for any comments you wish to make which are pertinent to the educational process. These may include all aspects of the course: teaching, examinations, grading, textbook, etc.

- Accommodating and helpful, although a bit of a tough exam grader when it comes to partial credit and such.

- Discussion is great, your 3D drawings are actually pretty good (I definitely couldn't draw a corkscrew shape on a blackboard). Good luck with the rest of grad school.

- Great TA, shed a ton of light on concepts I did not fully understand from just the lectures alone, gave some more useful methods for certain problems that were not discussed in class, and made a lot of topics that were not initially intuitive make a lot more sense.

- Hunter has been great so far. I haven't had much communication with him, but from what I have seen so far, he is a good T.A. He grades fairly and explains concepts clearly. I don't have any complaints.

- Hunter is very knowledgable and explains topics well. Sections are well organized and helpful. Grading is a little strict, but comments are helpful. Overall, a great TA!

- Hunter was a fantastic teacher and really connected with the students. He is very gifted at explaining concepts in a clear way, and I think he added a lot to my experience taking this course. Sometimes he would discuss "upshots" of the material that weren't covered in class without fully explaining them, and I think this could be confusing at times / make the class more convoluted. Other than this, I really liked his discussion sections and would definitely like to take him again.

- Hunter was always really helpful during discussion/during office hours and provided a lot of extra help

around exams to review. He also helped a lot in explaining the intuition/reasoning behind a lot of the computation which helped me understand a lot more of what I was doing and made me more interested in the concepts.

- Hunter was really nice and tried to accommodate students for office hours for exam reviews. He gave good feedback when stuck on a question and was also responsive to emails. Thank you for a great quarter!

- I enjoyed this discussion because the ta was very thorough and also very clear. I actually was very engaged in this discussion, which is a bit rare but the TA's effective and engaging teaching style is something that students rarely see in the math department LOL. Thank you!

- I have attended many TA discussions and visited their office hours. I was surprised when I attended Hunter's discussion for the first time. Wow, the way he explained things was just like an older, more experienced professor. He fully understood every single concept and could explain anything without hesitation. As a second-language English learner, it wasn't easy to understand the professor's explanations even when he was very good at them. His explanations were detailed and easy for me, who is not good at English. Also, he was always ready to help us with anything during office hours. I visited the office hours almost weekly and asked him about anything I didn't understand in a welcoming atmosphere. When office hours ended, I left Hunter's room feeling satisfied with what I had learned. Hunter also created extra office hours to help students prepare better for exams.
The feeling of knowing something I didn't realize is indescribable. I am sad that I will not have this precious time for much longer. I recommend Hunter TA to students. He tries hard and works hard for his students.
Thank you to the school for allowing me to meet Hunter as the TA for this class.

- I really enjoyed Hunter as a TA this quarter. Sections are always engaging and informative and he clearly knows his stuff and explains difficult concepts well. Office hours are also very helpful to me. I do feel like we sometimes go deep into the abstract theory (which I often enjoy), but maybe we could spend more time on problem-solving and applications.

- Na

- Super engaging and challenging discussions, good dive deeper into topics we covered in lecture. Also always posts his weekly notes online which is helpful for review.

- TA Hunter was amazing! He clearly knows the content and has a strong background as he was able to tackle and breakdown any question he was thrown. Hunter was able to demonstrate difficult theorems at a basic level and then was able to build up from there which was very helpful to see. He was always available to help outside of class via email or office hours. Hunter is a great instructor!

- What can I wish more from Liu? He teaches well, grades quickly and fairly (and actually gives feedback in grading! so many TAs don't do this), and answers our questions well. I really liked how he goes over each week's material with more examples. I wish to have more TAs like him in UCLA! I was very happy to have him in one of the hardest course in undergrad math and I truly wish him the best.

- good

- hunter was a great teacher and funny guy. super helpful during class and office hours.

- none

Profile

Subunit: MATH

Name of the instructor: H.Y. LIU

Name of the course:
(Name of the survey) 24F: MATH 132 DIS 2A: CMPLX ANLY-APLICTNS

Values used in the profile line: Mean

---

1. UCLA Department of Mathematics:

1.1) How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?
Failing — Excellent   n=33   av.=8.58

1.2) How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?
Failing — Excellent   n=33   av.=8.70

1.3) What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?
Failing — Excellent   n=33   av.=8.12