

#### Winter 2025

# Individual Instructor Report for Winter 2025 - 25W MATH 31B DIS 5A - INTEGRTN&INF SERIES H.Y. LIU



Created Saturday, March 29, 2025



Courses Audience:36Responses Received:10Response Ratio:27.78%

## **Report Comments**

Please find your Instructor Summary Report below. Please visit the Student Experiences of Teaching website for additional information.

Standard Deviation

## **Questions focused on Teaching Assistant**

## **UCLA Department of Mathematics**

l. How would you ra	ate your T	A as an	effective teache	ər?	
1 - Failing	0	0.00%			
2	0	0.00%			
3	0	0.00%			
4	0	0.00%			
5	2	20.00%			
6	0	0.00%			
7	0	0.00%			
8	2	20.00%			
9 - Excellent	6	60.00%			
N/A	0	0.00%			
Total	10		0%	50%	100
Statistics					Value
Response Count					10
Response Ratio					27.78%
Mean					8.00
Median					9.00
Mode					9

1.63

#### 2. How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?

1 - Failing	0	0.00%			
2	0	0.00%			
3	0	0.00%			
4	0	0.00%			
5	2	20.00%			
6	0	0.00%			
7	1	10.00%			
8	1	10.00%			
9 - Excellent	3	30.00%			
N/A	3	30.00%		-	
Total	10		0%	50%	100%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Response Ratio	27.78%
Mean	7.43
Median	8.00
Mode	9
Standard Deviation	1.81

#### 3. What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?

1 - Failing	0	0.00%			
2	0	0.00%			
3	1	10.00%			
4	0	0.00%			
5	1	10.00%			
6	4	40.00%			
7	1	10.00%			
8	0	0.00%			
9 - Excellent	3	30.00%			
N/A	0	0.00%			
Total	10		0%	50%	100%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Response Ratio	27.78%
Mean	6.60
Median	6.00
Mode	6
Standard Deviation	1.96

## **UCLA Department of Mathematics**

Graphs illustrating the information in the accessible table that should immediately follow it.

#### **UCLA Department of Mathematics**

1 - Failing, 5 - Average, 9 - Excellent

	Enrolled	Response Rate	Mean	Median	Mode	SD
How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?	36	27.78%	8.00	9.00	9	1.63
How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?	36	19.44%	7.43	8.00	9	1.81
What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?	36	27.78%	6.60	6.00	6	1.96

### Comments

Please use the space provided for any comments you wish to make which are pertinent to the educational process. These may include all aspects of the course: teaching, examinations, grading, textbook, etc.

#### Comments

Hunter is a great TA and explains the math topics well. He was also always available to answer any questions about homework or practice problems.

The TA's material would slightly be different then what was taught in class, and it was highly advanced. It lacked help for exam preparation and often lead to confusion.

My TA was extremely helpful, providing many different intuitive ways to understand concepts. I found his teaching style to be more effective than the professor's.

I know that the TAs did not have much communication with the professor, but that made it very hard because there was such a disconnect between lectures and discussion. Often times the discussion was not anything like what we learned or how we learned it in class, so that made learning very tough and frustrating as a student. Problems we did in discussion were always much more advanced and intense than we covered in class, which just made me more confused.

Great course but it's a bit too easy for me. Clear teaching and grading.

My TA throughout the course was very knowledgable on the content of the class, however there seemed to be a disconnection or lack of communication between the TA and the professor on specific topics or methods that were being taught during lecture, so the TA were not always sure what concepts they should go over and practice. He was always willing to explain if anyone had questions and provide with more examples if needed. He always made himself available and created a good work environment despite that disconnection with the professor.

I think because math is overall a hard topic, the TA support is very helpful. We get taught in lecture and discussions are more individual support and review, which I think my TA did well.